



# ORI Research Conference on Research Integrity

Agenda 2006

Revised 11/17/06

<b>Friday, December 1, 2006</b>		
<b>TIME</b>	<b>SESSION / EVENT</b>	<b>ROOM</b>
8:30am – 12:00pm	Investigators Meeting (invitation only)	<b>Bayshore A</b>
11:30am – 7:00pm	<b>Conference Registration</b>	<b>History Hall</b>
1:00pm – 1:30pm	<b>Welcome and Opening Comments</b> <i>(Nicholas H. Steneck, PhD and Barry B. Bercu, MD)</i>	<b>Baranoff Ballroom</b>
1:30pm – 3:30pm	<b>Plenary Sessions: Trends, Challenges, and New Research Areas</b> Session Chair: <i>Nicholas H. Steneck, PhD</i>	<b>Baranoff Ballroom</b>
	The Social Cost of Scientific Misconduct and Questionable Research Practices ( <i>William Gardner, PhD</i> )	
	Use of a Novel Content Analysis Method to Examine Trends in Research Integrity Literature <i>(Carol R. Thrush, EdD and James Vander Putten, PhD)</i>	
	Applying Dillman's Tailored Design Method to Internet Surveys <i>(Jill Rosenbaum, BA and Chuck Lidz, PhD)</i>	
	Clinical Trial Registries in Gene Therapy Research: Do They Foster Access to Research and Public Trust? ( <i>Gwen W. Anderson, PhD</i> )	
3:30pm – 4:00pm	<b>Break</b>	<b>Palm Lobby</b>
4:00pm – 6:00pm	<b>Plenary Sessions: Teaching and Behavior</b> Session Chair: <i>Mary Scheetz, PhD</i>	<b>Baranoff Ballroom</b>
	Goals Reported by Instructors for Teaching of Research Ethics <i>(Dena Plemmons, PhD and Michael Kalichman, PhD)</i>	
	The Influence of Opportunity on Research Misconduct <i>(Kenneth Pimple, PhD and Douglas Adams, PhD)</i>	
	RCR Training and Mentoring: Associations with Ethically Questionable Behavior ( <i>Brian C. Martinson, PhD</i> )	
	A Collegial Defense Against Irresponsible Science <i>(Joan Sieber, PhD)</i>	
6:00pm	<b>Poster Sessions begin</b>	<b>History Hall</b>
	A Survey of Research Ethics Practices at the National Institute of Environmental Health Science (NIEHS) ( <i>David Resnik, JD, PhD</i> )	
	How We Got a 75.4% Response Rate on an Internet Survey <i>(Jill Rosenbaum, BA and Chuck Lidz, PhD)</i>	
	The Organization and Goals of the UK Panel on Research Integrity <i>(Andrew Stainthorpe, PhD)</i>	
	Use of Team-Based Learning in Teaching Responsible Conduct of Research to First-Year Biomedical Science Graduate Students <i>(Wayne T. McCormack, PhD)</i>	
	Use of a Novel Content Analysis Method to Examine Trends in Research Integrity Literature	



# ORI Research Conference on Research Integrity

Agenda 2006

	( <i>Carol R. Thrush, EdD and James Vander Putten, PhD</i> )	
	Video - The RIO's Roles & Responsibilities: An Overview ( <i>David Wright, PhD</i> )	
	Society for Scientific Values and the Indian Experiences ( <i>Nandula Raghuram, PhD</i> )	
6:00pm – 7:00pm	<b>Reception</b>	<b>History Hall</b>
7:00pm – 9:00pm	<b>Dinner &amp; Keynote Address</b> The Role of Editors and Journals in Detecting Scientific Misconduct: Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats ( <i>Ana Marušić, MD, PhD</i> )	<b>Four Springs Ballroom</b>

Friday night = store posters in Cypress Room; can start setting East Room at 10:00pm  
Saturday early morning = move posters to Palm Lobby

<b>Saturday, December 2, 2006</b>		
<b>TIME</b>	<b>SESSION / EVENT</b>	<b>ROOM</b>
7:00am – 8:00am	<b>Registration &amp; Continental Breakfast</b>	<b>Palm Lobby / Four Springs Ballroom</b>
7:00am – 5:45pm	<b>Poster Sessions continue</b>	<b>Palm Lobby</b>
8:00am – 10:00am	<b>Concurrent Sessions (CHOOSE ONE TRACK)</b>	
	<b>Track One – <i>The Role of the Individual</i></b> Session Chair: <i>Chuck Lidz, PhD</i>	<b>Amphitheater</b>
	Validation of Ethical Decision-Making Measures: Internal and External Validity ( <i>Jason Hill</i> )	
	Scientists' Normative Orientations: Normative Dissonance and Ethically Questionable Behavior ( <i>Brian C. Martinson, PhD</i> )	
	Ethical Decision-Making in Research: Exploring the Influence of Personality ( <i>Alison L. Antes</i> )	
	Development of Moral Reasoning During Medical School ( <i>Darko Hren, BS</i> )	
	<b>Track Two – <i>Journals, Authorship, Publication</i></b> Session Chair: <i>William Gardner, PhD</i>	<b>Bayshore ABC</b>
	Conflicts of Interest and Editorial Decision-Making: How Editors', Peer Reviewers', and Authors' Disclosed Conflicts Effect Decisions to Publish Manuscripts ( <i>Elizabeth Boyd, PhD</i> )	
	Authorship Ethics Requirements in Medical Journals ( <i>William Gardner, PhD</i> )	
	Bias, Quality, and Value of Peer Review at Major Biomedical	



# ORI Research Conference on Research Integrity

Agenda 2006

	Journals ( <i>Kirby Lee, PharmD, MA</i> )	
	How Classification of Journal Items by a Citation Database Effects Impact Factor of Small and Large Journals: A Study of General Medical and Science Journals ( <i>Ana Marušić, MD, PhD</i> )	
	Coverage of Authorship and Publication Practices in Scientific Societies' Ethics Codes ( <i>Miguel Roig, PhD</i> )	
	<b>Track Three – RCR Instruction</b> Session Chair: <i>Francis Macrina, PhD</i>	<b>East Room</b>
	Responsible Conduct of Research Training: A Solution for Teaching Ethics in the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century ( <i>Ethan Waples</i> )	
	An Examination of Educational Practices and Monitoring for the Responsible Conduct of Research in Behavioral Science Education Programs ( <i>Terry DiLorenzo, PhD</i> )	
	Integrating Ethics into Graduate Training in the Environmental Sciences ( <i>Michelle Stickler, EdD</i> )	
	Attitudes Towards Plagiarism Among Medical Students ( <i>Lidija Bilic-Zulle, PhD</i> )	
10:00am – 10:15am	<b>Break</b>	<b>Palm Lobby</b>
10:15am – 12:15pm	<b>Concurrent Sessions (CHOOSE ONE TRACK)</b>	
	<b>Track One – The Role of the Individual II</b> Session Chair: <i>Brian C. Martinson, PhD</i>	<b>Amphitheater</b>
	The Making of a Conflicted Scientist: How Different Structures and Aspects of the Research Process Create Conflict for a Scientist ( <i>Heather Culley, MA</i> )	
	Data Ownership: Preserving Reputations When Data Get Pulled Without Warning ( <i>Helene Starks, PhD, MPH</i> )	
	En-gendering Thoughts on Research Integrity: Comparing Male and Female Investigators' Responses to Ethical Dilemmas ( <i>Kelly Fryer-Edwards, PhD</i> )	
	Stepping Up: Taking Responsibility for Research Integrity ( <i>Kelly Fryer-Edwards, PhD</i> )	
	<b>Track Two – Journals, Authorship, Publication II</b> Session Chair: <i>Eric Campbell, PhD</i>	<b>Bayshore ABC</b>
	Panel: Ethical Considerations About Journal Peer Review: Ways to Improve Accountability, Responsibility, and Research Integrity ( <i>Kirby Lee, PharmD, MA, Ana Marušić, MD, PhD, Elizabeth Boyd, PhD, and Lisa Bero, PhD</i> )	
	<b>Track Three – RCR Instruction II</b>	<b>East Room</b>



# ORI Research Conference on Research Integrity

Agenda 2006

	Session Chair: <i>Elizabeth Heitman, PhD</i>	
	Measures of RCR Mentoring, RCR Department Climate, and RCR Student Preparedness in Psychological Science ( <i>Adam Fried and Sabrina Goodman</i> )	
	Foundation for Novice Research Staff to Promote Scientific Integrity ( <i>Camille Nebeker, MS</i> )	
	Piloting the Waters of Research with Indigenous Staff ( <i>Leslie Alexander, PhD and Kenneth A. Richman, PhD</i> )	
	Application of Ethical Principles During the Informed Consent Process for Clinical Trials ( <i>Joanne Russell</i> )	
12:15pm – 1:30pm	<b>Lunch</b>	<b>Four Springs Ballroom</b>
1:30pm – 3:30pm	<b>Concurrent Sessions (CHOOSE ONE TRACK)</b>	
	<b>Track One – <i>The Role of the Individual III</i></b> Session Chair: <i>Wayne McCormack, PhD</i>	<b>Amphitheater</b>
	The Development of Ethical Decision-Making: Early Environmental Predictors of Research Integrity ( <i>Stephen Murphy, MA</i> )	
	NIH Investigators' Observations on Misconduct: What Do They Report They Observe on Fabrication, Falsification, and Plagiarism? ( <i>Sandra Titus, PhD</i> )	
	Research Climate at the University of Zagreb School of Medicine ( <i>Vedran Katavic, MD, PhD</i> )	
	Everyday Ethics: How Life Scientists Manage University Policies Governing Research ( <i>Karen Seashore Louis, PhD</i> )	
	<b>Track Two – <i>Journals, Authorship, and Publication III</i></b> Session Chair: <i>Lisa Bero, PhD</i>	<b>Bayshore ABC</b>
	Can Multiple Authorship Reduce Scientific Misconduct? ( <i>Sheldon Gelman, PhD</i> )	
	Does Instruction on Authorship Criteria Influence Students' Decisions and Justifications About Authorship Dilemmas? ( <i>Darko Hren, BS</i> )	
	Quantification of Authors' Contributions and Eligibility for Authorship: Randomized Trial in a General Medical Journal ( <i>Ana Marušić, MD, PhD</i> )	
	Research Integrity in the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association: Scientific Publication Practices ( <i>Sharon Moss, PhD and Janis Ingham, PhD</i> )	
	<b>Track Three – <i>Moral Considerations</i></b>	<b>East Room</b>



# ORI Research Conference on Research Integrity

Agenda 2006

	Session Chair: <i>David Resnik, JD, PhD</i>	
	Paying Research Participants: Attitudes and Practices of Investigators ( <i>Betsy Ripley, MD</i> )	
	Deception, Cheating, and the Normative Legitimacy of IRBs ( <i>Thomas May, PhD</i> )	
	To Tell or Not to Tell? – The Ethical Dilemma of the Would-be Whistleblower ( <i>Janet Malek, PhD</i> )	
	Deception in Social Psychology Research: Contextual Factors and Stakeholder Perceptions ( <i>Camille Nebeker, MS</i> )	
3:30pm – 3:45pm	<b>Break</b>	<b>Palm Lobby</b>
3:45pm – 4:45pm	<b>Plenary Sessions: Prevalence</b> Session Chair: <i>Mark Frankel, PhD</i>	<b>Baranoff Ballroom</b>
	Actual Occurrences of Scientific Misconduct: A National Survey of Research Coordinators ( <i>Barbara Habermann, PhD</i> )	
	Can We Trust Research? – Reports from Two Empirical Studies in Norway ( <i>Matthias Kaiser, PhD</i> )	
4:45pm – 6:00pm	<b>Panel: International Efforts to Foster Integrity</b> <i>Nanyan Cao, MA</i> – China <i>Matthias Kaiser, PhD</i> – Norway <i>Ana Marušić, MD, PhD</i> – Croatia <i>Nandula Raghuram, PhD</i> – India <i>Andrew Stainthorpe, PhD</i> – England	<b>Baranoff Ballroom</b>
6:00pm	<b>Free Evening</b>	

Saturday night = store AV from Baranoff overnight in East Room

Sunday early morning = re-set AV in Baranoff

<b>Sunday, December 3, 2006</b>		
TIME	SESSION / EVENT	ROOM
7:30am – 8:30am	<b>Registration &amp; Continental Breakfast</b>	<b>Palm Lobby / Four Springs Ballroom</b>
8:30am – 10:00am	<b>Concurrent Sessions (CHOOSE ONE TRACK)</b>	
	<b>Track One – Climate</b> Session Chair: <i>Leslie Alexander, PhD</i>	<b>Amphitheater</b>
	Power, Integrity, and the Institutional Construction of Norms in University Research Administration ( <i>Timothy Atkinson, EdD</i> )	
	Entrepreneurial Professors and Secrecy in Science: Variations and	



# ORI Research Conference on Research Integrity

Agenda 2006

	Impacts ( <i>Karen Seashore Louis, PhD and Eric Campbell, PhD</i> )	
	Organizational Culture for Research Integrity in Academic Health Centers ( <i>James Vander Putten, PhD and Carol R. Thrush, EdD</i> )	
	<b>Track Two – Issues in the Design and Conduct of Clinical Trials</b> Session Chair: <i>Deb DeBruin, PhD</i>	<b>Bayshore ABC</b>
	Panel: Re-thinking Clinical Research Ethics: From Protocol Design to Everyday Practice ( <i>Deb DeBruin, PhD, Joan Liaschenko, PhD, and Anastasia Fisher, RN, DNSc</i> )	
	<b>Track Three – Questionable Research Practices</b> Session Chair: <i>Sharon Moss, PhD</i>	<b>East Room</b>
	Research Environments and the Research Report Card ( <i>Brenda Jeffers, PhD</i> )	
	Questionable Research Practices (QRP): A Difficult But Important Research Area ( <i>Nanyan Cao, MA</i> )	
	Questionable Research Practices: The Initial Development of a Measure ( <i>Kelly L. Wester, PhD</i> )	
10:00am – 10:15am	<b>Break</b>	<b>Palm Lobby</b>
10:15am – 12:15pm	<b>Plenary Sessions: Impacts and Policy Implications</b> Session Chair: <i>Nicholas H. Steneck, PhD</i>	<b>Baranoff Ballroom</b>
	Conflicts of Interest in the Evaluation of “Model” School-Based Drug and Violence Prevention Programs ( <i>Dennis M. Gorman, PhD</i> )	
	Unrealistic Expectations of Treatment Effects May Hamper Advancements in Medicine: A Review of Randomized Trials Conducted by 3 NCI Cooperative Groups ( <i>Ambuj Kumar, MD, Benjamin Djulbegovic, MD, PhD, and Heloisa P. Soares, MD</i> )	
	Competing Commitments in Clinical Trials Research ( <i>Chuck Lidz, PhD, Steven Joffe, MD, MPH, Jill Rosenbaum, BA</i> )	
	Lack of Reporting Harms in the NCI-Sponsored Phase III Hemotological Malignancies Trials Leads to Outcomes Reporting Bias ( <i>Heloisa P. Soares, MD, Ambuj Kumar, MD, and Benjamin Djulbegovic, MD, PhD</i> )	
12:15pm	<b>Closing Discussion, Comments, and Boxed Lunch</b> ( <i>Nicholas Steneck, PhD</i> )	<b>Baranoff Ballroom / History Hall</b>